International Journal of Educational Contemporary Explorations
Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 24-33 Date Accepted: May 8, 2024 Date Published: May 23, 2024

Ethical Considerations in Obtaining Informed Consent in Research Participation

Saada Salim Al Habsi
International College of Engineering and Management
Sultanate of Oman
saada@icem.edu.om
ORCID: 0009-0005-9262-2732

DOI: https://doi.org/10.69481/DIVL1152

Abstract

This thorough and comprehensive examination thoroughly explores the wide-ranging ethical considerations that are intricately intertwined with the essential concept of informed consent in the ever-evolving realm of research. It delves into the depths of past mistakes, which have indelibly shaped and molded the current ethical standards that are universally implemented and adhered to, unearthing their profound influence on research practices on a global scale. This profound study delves into the utmost significance of respecting and valuing participants' autonomy while also safeguarding their overall well- being, demonstrating a steadfast dedication to ethical principles. This insightful academicwork undertakes a thorough examination of the significant challenges faced in obtaining informed consent, particularly when dealing with language barriers and deep cultural differences. It emphasizes the crucial need for open communication channels and culturally appropriate methods to ensure participants fully understand and willingly engage in research activities. The detailed analysis concludes with a thorough outline of the essential ethical considerations that should be meticulously incorporated at every stage of the research process. By advocating for ongoing ethical evaluation, this study ensures that ethical standards and integrity are continually upheld, leading to the development of ethically sound, socially responsible research practices that have genuine value to society.

Keywords: Ethical Guidelines, Research Participants, Informed Consent, Transparency, Autonomy, Confidentiality, Coercion, Vulnerable Populations.

1. Introduction

Guidelines are created to ensure that the ethical substance of research is operationalized within the culture of the specific contexts in which the guidelines are being applied. The requirement for informed consent is one such ethical criterion. Informed consent issometimes trivialized as the process of getting a signature on a document or as a legal barrier to conducting research. Researchers argue that adhering to these guidelines stifles research and limits the types of research activities conducted. In fact, attention to the ethical principle of informed consent is so problematic that government funding programs, such as the National Cancer Institute, provide funding specifically to counteract these abuses. Various types of unethical behaviors have been observed in research studies. Examples of these behaviors include the use of consent documents only in English for individuals who do not speak, read, or write English as their main language. This means a failure to use culturally sensitive methods for securing consent, a strong focus on individual consent rather than notifying communities or groups, and applying consent principles from a past research project without proper authorization (Pinel et al.2023; Milo, 2022; van et al.2024).

Cite this in APA: Alhabsi, S. S. (2024). *Ethical Considerations in Obtaining Informed Consent in Research Participation. International Journal of Educational Contemporary Explorations*. 1 (1). Pp. 24-33. DOI: https://doi.org/10.69481/DIVL1152

In response to worldwide research abuses, guidelines, rules, and codes for ensuring that human participants are protected from unethical research practices have been developedat a variety of levels: international, national, state, local, and university. The purpose of these guidelines, rules, or codes, such as the Declaration of Helsinki, the Belmont Report,the Nuremberg Code, the Common Rule, the National Bioethics Advisory Committee's Ethical and Policy Issues in Research Involving Human Participants, and the World Medical Association's Declaration of Geneva, are, among other things, to ensure that researchers consider the research in terms of the ethical principles of justice, beneficence, and respect and to develop a culturally appropriate partnership among the researcher, the participant, and the relevant communities, including involving the communities in the development, conduct, and evaluation of the research project. Regulations such as those from Health and Human Services and the Food and Drug Administration attach real or threatened sanctions for engaging in research that is not in compliance with the regulations (Pietilä et al.2020; Sivasubramaniam et al.2021; Al-Durra et al., 2020; Favaretto et al., 2020; Noh et al.2022; Ahmadi-Noorbakhsh et al.2021).

1.2 Aim

To provide a comprehensive overview of the ethical considerations involved in obtaining informed consent in research, encompassing historical context, regulatory frameworks, practical challenges, and best practices.

1.3 Objectives

a. To examine the historical context of informed consent, trace the development of ethical guidelines and regulations in response to research abuses.
b. To analyze key ethical principles and legal frameworks that govern informed consent in research, including international guidelines and national regulations.
c. To identify and discuss challenges in obtaining informed consent, particularly in relation to vulnerable populations, language barriers, and cultural differences.
d. To present best practices for researchers to ensure informed consent is obtained ethically and effectively, emphasizing clear communication, cultural sensitivity, and respect for participant autonomy.
e. To summarize key ethical considerations and offer recommendations forresearchers and Institutional Review Boards (IRBs) to uphold the highest ethical standards in research involving human subjects.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Background of Informed Consent in Research

Informed consent is a moral and ethical responsibility of researchers of any studies or projects on human subjects. It is considered a practical indication for the ethical conduct of research projects involving humans. There are various regulations, international guidelines, and ethical codes for obtaining informed consent in research. One of the basicprinciples about all guidelines is the decision on medical intervention or participation in research is in the full competency of subjects. To intervene in an individual physically or psychologically without obtaining legal authority for any purpose is illegal. Consent is permission and is believed to possess an external form. The written form of consent is thetype of document that is approved by the research commission or board for the intention of perception. (Head, 2020)

2.3 Significance of Ethical Considerations

In situations where the potential participant is incapable of giving consent, an authorized representative (such as a family member, legal guardian, or authorized legal representative or surrogate) may give proxy consent for the

potential participant. In research involving persons with diminished autonomy or are otherwise vulnerable to coercion or undue influence, respect should be given to protecting the potential participant's welfare. The researcher must do everything possible to ensure that the participants are treated fairly and with dignity, particularly in light of their circumstances. Although the provisions of the ethical research principles make good practical sense, there may exist tensions between the researchers fulfilling their obligations to conduct proper research and the researchers providing additional protection to the research participants. (Shepherd, 2020; Shepherd et al.2021)

Ethical considerations are critical in all aspects of research, particularly when the research involves human participants. It is imperative that researchers respect the dignity, rights, and welfare of research participants. At the heart of the principle of respect for persons is obtaining informed consent prior to the conduct of research. Informed consent ensures that the potential research participant makes an autonomous and informed decision based on an understanding of the research activity. This understanding extends to all aspects of the research, including purpose, procedures, risks and benefits, alternatives to participation, the potential impact of the research, and freedom of choice, including the right to withdraw at any time without negative implications. It is worth mentioning that respecting the participant's autonomy is of great importance in the research process. However, there are cases where informed consent may be waived because the research participants are incapable of providing consent. (Xu et al.2020; White, 2020)

2.3 Legal and Ethical Frameworks

When informed consent is considered from these perspectives, implications of being exposed to more complex, multilayered research ethics delinquencies regarding ethics debate are increasingly considered. Many consider this to be a return or transition from a utilitarian philosophy of benefit maximization "the greatest good for the greatest number" to a more deontological approach where researchers should obey rules or duties in order to act morally. The pronounced commitment to the above ethical frameworks raises serious questions as to what happens to research credibility in the long run, particularly in pluralistic African societies entrenched within culturally loaded but ever dynamic and changing reality. Some students are uncertain about how to issue ethical clearances from their jurisdictions while carrying out research in 'foreign' countries. Subsequent consent collection then becomes a tricky affair. (Chen et al.2024; Ess, 2020)

In the majority of modernization and development research projects, research participation is voluntary and carried out with duly given consent. The concept of informed consent differs from other social research in that a researcher in the case of development studies of specific nature seeks validity through gaining entrée to the settingor the community, thus demanding the consent of the subjects of the research to ensure that information emanates from the participant's perspective. (Darrow et al., 2021)

This paper discusses issues in seeking informed consent and offers strategies for addressing ethical considerations. Researcher planning research activities in addition to the apparent excitement of having acquired his or her research qualifications and experiencing the triumph of setting out in an academic career, has the added responsibility of having to compete in a 'gigantic market' where 'knowledge workers' ofall sorts are a glut.

2.4. Key Legal Requirements for Informed Consent

Compliance with the Law. The key legal requirements for informed consent are that it be given by the patient when considered capable and competent, be based on full awareness of the implications of denying or

consenting to treatment, and be freely given. (Haavisto et al.2021) As part of the process of ensuring that these requirements have been met, informed consent must be a never-ending process that begins with the sharing of information and continues through treatment and observation. Crucial distinctions need to be made. First, while consent for one type of treatment has been obtained, this does not necessarily render consent for a different kind of treatment automatic. Second, treatment whose success depends on compliance ought to be conditional on consent. A patient may authorize an operation and then refuse to maintain the drainage tubes. Third, informed consent ought to apply to some diagnostic tests. Finally, traditional informed consent might profit from further expansion by requiring or focusing on a specific type of consent called "informed refusal." (Millum and Bromwich, 2021; Bazzano et al., 2021; Varkey, 2021)

Results. If you routinely publish the results of your research, the consent form ought to inform the subject of that policy and to disclose that publication will be without the inclusion of any patient identifiers. It is appropriate to tell the patient in terms that he or she can understand that, for example, "the use of clinical materials and results will be made in publications of the research findings such as case reports, research studies, or scientific articles, but without personal patient identifiers. Information used for publication will be in combination with information from other patients with similar problems." If you have no intention of publishing your study results, your consent form should say that. (Lee et al.2021; Huang et al., 2022; Gagnier et al., 2021)

3. Challenges in Obtaining Informed Consent

Nevertheless, the underlying mode of these safeguards is the protection of privacy and the confidentiality of the potential participants, a message that is made clearer in the informed consent forms. This implies if privacy and confidentiality are not protected, even if participants have given their free and informed consent, they will still be victims of research exploitation. To avoid such exploitation, participants are supposed to be anonymous and confidential so that they cannot be proven, humiliated, socially excluded, discriminated against, or lose their moral or financial standing. They should also not face any harm by virtue of their participation in the research. (Brittain et al.2020) Anonymous denotes the fact that a participant's identity is not known to the researcher. Researchers have no means of linking data with the individual from whom it was obtained. In contrast, confidentiality denotes that the individual's identity is known to the researcher but is kept secret or private. If researchers fail to keep the participant's identity anonymous and confidential, they would have violated the core concept of informed consent that is designed to protect participants' autonomy, freedom, dignity, and fairness. (Akpa-Inyang & Chima, 2021; Moriña, 2021)

Obtaining informed consent in health-related research has received much attention over the years. This is because of the problems of uncertainty, fear, anxiety, as well as misinformation surrounding patients about their research participation when they are ill. There are formidable barriers that make it difficult to obtain the free and informed consent of potential subjects. (Netto et al.2020) Some of these that have been mentioned by previous studies include the therapeutic misconception by the ill person, which refers to the belief of subjects that joining research offers the best and most immediate hope for relief from their illness. Therefore, individuals in the mistaken belief that they may purchase immediate benefits that are only available from the standard treatment, they may fail to appreciate they may not instead improve because of the presence of risk of being exposed to recognized and unrecognized risks of treatment with no potential for benefit (Jansen, 2020; Mohammed-Ali et al.2022)Vulnerability has also been identified by other researchers as a formidable barrier that makes it difficult to obtain the free and informed consent from ill persons. Vulnerable people have problems in protecting their own interests. They depend excessively on others and are easily exploited. Their decision-making is not completely free. This problem gets exacerbated in the case of research where the offering of undue benefit may act as a form of coercion that may make it difficult for them to make a decision that is favorable

to them (Gordon, 2020). Therapeutic misconception and vulnerability are such because of the above obstacles to obtaining informed consent from ill patients that safeguards have been developed to maintain the patients' rights to self-determination and the reading about how the research involvement will help others in the future while the integrity of the research business is simultaneously protected. These protections have been put in place to secure the subjects' free and informed consent to participate in research. Not surprising then that most international guidelines on bioethics stipulate safeguards aimed at respecting and protecting the privacy, confidentiality, freedom, autonomy, and human dignity of the potential participants while fairness, responsibility, and integrity are generously upheld. (Woollard et al.2021; Nandra et al., 2020)

3.1. Language and Cultural Barriers

Using an interpreter whose primary job assignment does not involve translating can pose several threats to the protection of human subjects in the research setting. (Vieira et al.2021) Spouses or family members are discouraged from serving as an interpreter to protect the informed consent elements of secret-keeping, voluntariness, and feeling pressure to sign the consent document. (Birchall, 2021) The use of a professional interpreter at the initial introduction of the research project to participants is the first step in protecting human subjects. The final step is documenting the participants' signature on the consent form. The signed informed consent form is physical proof that the research process began with the introduction of the independent professional interpreter who was officially requested without bias. (Lovell-Badge et al.2021; Chaudhary et al.2021)

Language and cultural barriers between the researcher and the participant can present difficulties. These barriers may occur between researchers and participants who speak different languages despite having the same race and ethnic background. (Harrison et al.2020) In studies that involve research participants who speak a different language, professional healing arts' language interpreters should be utilized. The professional interpreter ensures that the consenting process is understood by the participants. Tomaintain the integrity of the informed consent process, the professional interpreter should have no conflict of interest in promoting the research project excitement among vulnerable study participants. (Correa et al.2020)

4. Best Practices in Obtaining Informed Consent

First and foremost, obtaining informed consent is a process and not merely documentation of the necessary information to obtain a signature on a form. Effective communication between the researcher and the research participant is at the heart of the process. (Renn, 2020) The classical elements of the consent are the signatures of both the participant and the researcher, but the truly important aspects are the dialogues and the exchange of factual information which then lead the exchange of permissions and assurances (Swain and Spire2020). Research on the consent process has shown that individuals have a more realistic understanding of both the risks and potential benefits of research when procedures are used that encourage the research participant dialogue. (Mohamed, 2024; Saarijärvi & Bratt, 2021)

This paper provides a comprehensive list of various practical steps and strategies to greatly enhance the consent process and ensure its efficacy. It extensively explores and delves into the importance of effective communication methods, providing valuable insights on how to improve them. Additionally, it offers an array of meticulously crafted sample language that can be effortlessly customized to meet the specific needs and requirements of diverse research projects.

This paper places a paramount emphasis on the crucial aspect of voluntary participation, shedding light on the significance of incorporating online consent methods to facilitate a more convenient and seamless process.

Furthermore, it bravely challenges the deeply ingrained notion that all research participation mandatorily necessitates informed consent, particularly in rapidly evolving research settings where exceptions may arise. Acknowledging the dynamic nature of research, this paper also delves into the exception to the standard consent requirement for studies involving minimal risks, further expanding on the implications and potential benefits that this exception can bring forth. (Toulouse et al.2020)

Encompassing an extensive range of valuable information, practical insights, and thought-provoking ideas, this paper equips researchers with the necessary tools and knowledge to revolutionize and enhance the consent process, ultimately fostering more efficient and ethical research practices. (Laurijssen et al.2022; Millum and Bromwich, 2021; Monach and Branch-Elliman, 2021)

4.1. Clear Communication Strategies

Informed consent is important from both a regulatory and ethical perspective. Informed consent is the process of communication between a prospective participant and the study team, whereby the recipient comprehends what it means to attend the study and makes an autonomous decision to either attend or refuse the study (White, 2020). Throughout the process, the language and communication strategies employed may have an impact on the decision to partake. Researchers can employ the utilization of clear communication in a patient-centered manner to enable the patient to feel included, valued, and become comfortable with the decision-making process. Miscommunication strategies can lead to heightened patient concerns or impair comprehension, thus leading to hasty decisions. (Tubagus et al.2023; Inan et al.2020; Langegård et al., 2021)

Patients have the right to understand the information about the study and make an informed decision. (Pietrzykowski & Smilowska, 2021) Research, especially in the medical field, can be complex and highly dependent on specific rules and procedures to ensure studies produce valid results. Data and findings from a study may be vital in finding a cure, improving care, and developing policies for a certain patient population, but research participation may also be risky or impact privacy in some way. Thus, healthcare providers play a crucial role in educating their patients. (Dijk et al., 2020) They need to help patients understand, as well as ensure, their autonomy is respected. Understandably, providers may not know everything about informed consent in research participation, but they should understand the importance of patient education. This can help make sure their patients are fully informed and understand the commitment to the research process. (Pietrzykowski and Smilowska, 2021; Glaser et al.2020)

5. Conclusion

It is helpful to compare federal requirements for informed consent with follow-up discussions from the two national groups that met to add meaning to the few words in theCommon Rule itself. The DDS conference is less familiar than the Belmont process to most of us, although they emanate from the same time period within HHS created one committee for voluntary research. The identification of "consideration of the individual" is of as much interest to this presentation as is the approach to "informed consent." These discussions make it appear as if two committees, representing different federal approaches to human subject protections and holding widely different committees have created two different strategies for capturing the concept of respect for persons in review.Actual instructions for obtaining informed consent take up only two paragraphs in the federal regulations, but its context is the entire 18 of 45 words of the pre-historic BelmontReport. This is because informed consent is only one manifestation of the respect for persons that is foundational to doing research ethically. In both words and actions, researchers convey respect when they provide a meaningful and understandable consent process. Much of the meaning is contained in the information provided, but if the research participation is not truly voluntary, understanding is of little help because volunteers have another characteristic – that of exercising their freedom to say "yes" or "no." Researchers - most of whom are volunteers setting an example for student investigators - make this choice difficult by the context in which contact takes place. For Institutional Review Boards, helping researchers ensure voluntariness is likely more the interpretation of the "meaningful information" and what is "understandable." Thank you for your work to promote the dignity of research

participation and the knowledge that it generates.

5.1. Summary of Key Ethical Considerations

The paramount consideration in ensuring ethical conduct in a research study is showing respect for the participants and their right to informed consent. This paper offers a comprehensive guide on the fundamental concept of informed consent, which should be followed in all research endeavors, whether in LMIC countries, large-scale projects, studies on emerging infectious diseases, biosecurity research, multipurpose research platforms, or collaborations with private organizations. It emphasizes the importance of providing training on human research protection requirements and ethical standards to all staff involved in research and patient care, echoing the recommendations made in previous reports. Community engagement activities and related training suggestions are discussed in other resources.

While research biosafety programs describe acceptable practices for work that can pose risk to researchers, study staff, and the environment, research peace programs are engaged in activities that do not generally pose a direct threat to these communities but may raise ethical concerns from the perspective of research participants and the public. Ethical concerns differ from those presented in research biosafety programs but can be of equal concern. They should be addressed throughout the life cycle of research, from project inception to completion, and even beyond. These concerns are presented in this chapter in the context of the ethical responsibility of staff engaged in research studies involving human participants.

A variety of models and standards govern and guide research activities. Standards for research ethics, including those involving human participants, should be developed and applied consistently. The key principles of respect for persons, beneficence, and justice, for example, have been enshrined in the United States Federal Regulations and institutional codes developed in collaboration with a number of different types of research programs and subject matter areas. Other international bodies are developing additional ethics standards relevant to specific disciplines, such as the UNESCO Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights and the principles of good clinical practices.

References:

Pinel, J., Bellanger, A., Jamet, C., & Moreau, C. (2023). Information and parental consent for French neonatal screening: A qualitative study on parental opinion. International Journal of Neonatal Screening, 9(2), 26. mdpi.com

Milo, C. (2022). Love as a journey in the informed consent context: Legal abortion in England and Wales as a case study. The New Bioethics. tandfonline.com

van der Boon, R. M., Camm, A. J., Aguiar, C., Biassin, E., Breithardt, G., Bueno, H., ... & Bruining, N. (2024). Risks and Benefits of Sharing Patient Information on Social Media: A Digital Dilemma. European Heart Journal-Digital Health, ztae009. oup.com Pietilä, A. M., Nurmi, S. M., Halkoaho, A., & Kyngäs, H. (2020). Qualitative research: Ethical considerations. The application of content analysis in nursing science research, 49-69. [HTML]

Sivasubramaniam, S., Dlabolová, D. H., Kralikova, V., & Khan, Z. R. (2021). Assisting you to advance with ethics in research: an introduction to ethical governance and application procedures. International Journal for Educational Integrity, 17, 1-18. springer.com

Al-Durra, M., Nolan, R. P., Seto, E., & Cafazzo, J. A. (2020). Prospective registration and reporting of trial number in randomised clinical trials: global cross sectional study of the adoption of ICMJE and Declaration of Helsinki …. bmj. bmj.com

Favaretto, M., De Clercq, E., Gaab, J., & Elger, B. S. (2020). First do no harm: An exploration of researchers' ethics of conduct in Big Data behavioral studies. Plos one. plos.org

Noh, J. H., Jung, H. W., Ga, H., & Lim, J. Y. (2022). Ethical guidelines for publishing in the Annals of Geriatric Medicine and Research. Annals of Geriatric Medicine and Research, 26(1), 1. nih.gov

Ahmadi-Noorbakhsh, S., Mirabzadeh Ardakani, E., Sadighi, J., Aldavood, S. J., Farajli Abbasi, M., Farzad-Mohajeri, S., ... & Shamsi Gooshki, E. (2021). Guideline for the care and use of laboratory animals in Iran. Lab animal, 50(11), 303-305. [HTML]

Head, G. (2020). Ethics in educational research: Review boards, ethical issues and researcher development. European Educational Research Journal. sagepub.com Shepherd, V. (2020). An under-represented and underserved population in trials: methodological, structural, and systemic barriers to the inclusion of adults lackingcapacity to consent. Trials. springer.com

Shepherd, V., Sheehan, M., Hood, K., Griffith, R., & Wood, F. (2021). Constructing authentic decisions: proxy decision making for research involving adults who lack capacity to consent. Journal of medical ethics, 47(12), e42-e42. core.ac.uk

Xu, A., Baysari, M. T., Stocker, S. L., Leow, L. J., Day, R. O., & Carland, J. E. (2020). Researchers' views on, and experiences with, the requirement to obtain informed consent in research involving human participants: a qualitative study. BMC medical ethics, 21, 1-11. springer.com

White, M. G. (2020). Why human subjects research protection is important. Ochsner journal. ochsnerjournal.org

Chen, T. H., Liu, S. C., & Wu, C. H. (2024). The influence of CEO ethics on climate change policy from the perspective of utilitarianism and deontology. The North American Journal of Economics and Finance, 102193. [HTML]

Ess, C. M. (2020). Internet research ethics and social media. Handbook of research ethicsand scientific integrity. [HTML]

Anđić, B., Ulbrich, E., Dana-Picard, T., Cvjetićanin, S., Petrović, F., Lavicza, Z., & Maričić, M. (2023). A phenomenography study of STEM teachers' conceptions of using three-dimensional modeling and printing (3DMP) in teaching. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 32(1), 45-60. springer.com

Darrow, J. J., Avorn, J., & Kesselheim, A. S. (2021). FDA regulation and approval of medical devices: 1976-2020. Jama. [HTML]

Haavisto, E., Soikkeli-Jalonen, A., Tonteri, M., & Hupli, M. (2021). Nurses' required end-of-life care competence in health centres inpatient ward–a qualitative descriptive study. Scandinavian journal of caring sciences, 35(2), 577-585. wiley.com

Millum, J. & Bromwich, D. (2021). Informed consent: What must be disclosed and what must be understood?. The American Journal of Bioethics. philarchive.org

Bazzano, L. A., Durant, J., & Brantley, P. R. (2021). A modern history of informed consent and the role of key information. Ochsner Journal. ochsnerjournal.org

Varkey, B. (2021). Principles of clinical ethics and their application to practice. Medical Principles and Practice. karger.com

Lee, H., Cashin, A. G., Lamb, S. E., Hopewell, S., Vansteelandt, S., VanderWeele, T. J., ... & Henschke, N. (2021). A guideline for reporting mediation analyses of randomized trials and observational studies: the AGReMA statement. Jama, 326(11), 1045-1056. jamanetwork.com

Huang, S. J., Amendola, L. M., & Sternen, D. L. (2022). Variation among DNA bankingconsent forms: points for clinicians to bank on. Journal of community genetics. nih.gov Gagnier, J. J., Lai, J., Mokkink, L. B., & Terwee, C. B. (2021). COSMIN reportingguideline for studies on measurement properties of patient-reported outcome measures.Quality of Life Research. usm.my

Brittain, S., Ibbett, H., de Lange, E., Dorward, L., Hoyte, S., Marino, A., ... & Lewis, J. (2020). Ethical considerations when conservation research involves people. ConservationBiology, 34(4), 925-933. ox.ac.uk

Akpa-Inyang, F. & Chima, S. C. (2021). South African traditional values and beliefs regarding informed consent and limitations of the principle of respect for autonomy in African communities: a cross-cultural …. BMC medical ethics. springer.com

Moriña, A. (2021). When people matter: The ethics of qualitative research in the health and social sciences. Health & social care in the community. [HTML]

Netto, G., Noon, M., Hudson, M., Kamenou-Aigbekaen, N., & Sosenko, F. (2020). Intersectionality, identity work and migrant progression from low-paid work: A critical realist approach. Gender, Work & Organization, 27(6), 1020-1039. qmul.ac.uk

Jansen, L. A. (2020). Informed consent, therapeutic misconception, and unrealistic optimism. Perspectives in biology and medicine. ohsu.edu
Mohammed-Ali, A. I., Gebremeskel, E. I., Yenshu, E., Nji, T., Ntabe, A. C., Wanji, S., ... & Munung, N. S. (2022). Informed consent in a tuberculosis genetic study in Cameroon: information overload, situational vulnerability and diagnostic misconception. Research Ethics, 18(4), 265-280. sagepub.com
Gordon, B. G. (2020). Vulnerability in research: Basic ethical concepts and general approach to review. Ochsner Journal. ochsnerjournal.org
Woollard, L., Gorman, R., & Rosenfelt, D. J. (2021). Improving patient informed consent for haemophilia gene therapy: the case for change. Therapeutic Advances in Rare Disease, 2, 26330040211047244. sagepub.com
Nandra, R., Brockie, A. F., & Hussain, F. (2020). A review of informed consent and how it has evolved to protect vulnerable participants in emergency care research. EFORT Open Reviews. bioscientifica.com
Vieira, L. N., O'Hagan, M., & O'Sullivan, C. (2021). Understanding the societal impacts of machine translation: a critical review of the literature on medical and legal use cases. Information, Communication & Society, 24(11), 1515-1532. tandfonline.com
Birchall, C. (2021). Radical secrecy: The ends of transparency in datafied America. [HTML]
Lovell-Badge, R., Anthony, E., Barker, R. A., Bubela, T., Brivanlou, A. H., Carpenter, M., ... & Zhai, X. (2021). ISSCR guidelines for stem cell research and clinical translation: the 2021 update. Stem cell reports, 16(6), 1398-1408. cell.com
Chaudhary, N., Weissman, D., & Whitehead, K. A. (2021). mRNA vaccines for infectious diseases: principles, delivery and clinical translation. Nature reviews Drug discovery, 20(11), 817-838. nature.com
Harrison, R., Walton, M., Chitkara, U., Manias, E., Chauhan, A., Latanik, M., & Leone,
D. (2020). Beyond translation: engaging with culturally and linguistically diverse consumers. Health Expectations, 23(1), 159-168. wiley.com
Correa, V. C., Lugo-Agudelo, L. H., Aguirre-Acevedo, D. C., Contreras, J. A. P., Borrero, A. M. P., Patiño-Lugo, D. F., & Valencia, D. A. C. (2020). Individual, health system, and contextual barriers and facilitators for the implementation of clinical practice guidelines: a systematic metareview. Health research policy and systems, 18, 1-11. springer.com
Renn, O. (2020). Risk communication: Insights and requirements for designing successful communication programs on health and environmental hazards. Handbook of risk and crisis communication. psu.edu
Swain, J. M., & Spire, Z. D. (2020, January). The role of informal conversations in generating data, and the ethical and methodological issues. In Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung/Forum: Qualitative Social Research (Vol. 21, No. 1). qualitative- research.net
Mohamed, A. M. (2024). Exploring the potential of an AI-based Chatbot (ChatGPT) in enhancing English as a Foreign Language (EFL) teaching: perceptions of EFL Faculty Members. Education and Information Technologies. [HTML]
Saarijärvi, M. & Bratt, E. L. (2021). When face-to-face interviews are not possible: tips and tricks for video, telephone, online chat, and email interviews in qualitative research. oup.com
Toulouse, E., Lafont, B., Granier, S., Mcgurk, G., & Bazin, J. E. (2020). French legal approach to patient consent in clinical research. Anaesthesia Critical Care & Pain Medicine, 39(6), 883-885. sciencedirect.com
Laurijssen, S. J., van der Graaf, R., van Dijk, W. B., Schuit, E., Groenwold, R. H., Grobbee, D. E., & de Vries, M. C. (2022). When is it impractical to ask informed consent? A systematic review. Clinical Trials, 19(5), 545-560. sagepub.com
Monach, P. A. & Branch-Elliman, W. (2021). Reconsidering 'minimal risk'to expand therepertoire of trials with waiver of informed consent for research. BMJ open. bmj.com Tubagus, M., Haerudin, H., Fathurohman, A., Adiyono, A., & Aslan, A. (2023). Theimpact of technology on islamic pesantren education and the learning outcomes of santri:new trends and possibilities. Indonesian Journal of Education (INJOE), 3(3), 443-450. injoe.org
Inan, O. T., Tenaerts, P., Prindiville, S. A., Reynolds, H. R., Dizon, D. S., Cooper- Arnold, K., ... & Califf, R. M. (2020). Digitizing clinical trials. NPJ digital medicine,3(1), 101. nature.com
Langegård, U., Kiani, K., Nielsen, S. J., & Svensson, P. A. (2021). Nursing students' experiences of a pedagogical transition from campus learning to distance learning using digital tools. BMC nursing. springer.com

Pietrzykowski, T. & Smilowska, K. (2021). The reality of informed consent: empirical studies on patient comprehension—systematic review. Trials. springer.com
Dijk, S. W., Duijzer, E. J., & Wienold, M. (2020). Role of active patient involvement in undergraduate medical education: a systematic review. BMJ open. bmj.com
Glaser, J., Nouri, S., Fernandez, A., Sudore, R. L., Schillinger, D., Klein-Fedyshin, M., &Schenker, Y. (2020). Interventions to improve patient comprehension in informed consent for medical and surgical procedures: an updated systematic review. Medical Decision Making, 40(2), 119-143. sagepub.com

Cite this in APA: Alhabsi, S. S. (2024). *Ethical Considerations in Obtaining Informed Consent in Research Participation. International Journal of Educational Contemporary Explorations*. 1 (1). Pp. 24-33. DOI: https://doi.org/10.69481/DIVL1152