International Journal of Educational Contemporary Explorations

Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 46-56 Date Accepted: May 15, 2024 Date Published: May 30, 2024

AKASA Framework as a Pedagogical Too: A Literature Review and Implications for Transformative Learning

Michael C. Legion
University of Technology and Applied Sciences - Muscat
Sultanate of Oman
michael.canoy@utas.edu.om
ORCID: 0009-0000-4992-9548

DOI: https://doi.org/10.69481/RYVF2092

Abstract

This paper explores the potential of the AKASA (Awareness, Knowledge, Attitude, Skills, Action) framework as a pedagogical tool for fostering transformative learning in the context of environmental education. A comprehensive literature review synthesizes existing research on the effectiveness of AKASA in enhancing environmental knowledge and awareness among learners. While findings indicate positive impacts on knowledge and awareness, further investigation is needed to understand the framework's influence on attitudes, skills, and actions. This paper argues that transformative learning, characterized by critical reflection and a shift in perspective, can be fostered through AKASA by incorporating experiential learning, systems thinking, and opportunities for action. Integrating AKASA with transformative learning principles presents a promising approach to cultivating environmentally literate and engaged citizens.

Keywords: AKASA, Transformative Learning, Experiential Learning, Systems Thinking, Environmental Education

1. Introduction

Environmental literacy, defined as an individual's understanding of environmental issues and their ability to take informed action (Chan, 2018), is increasingly recognized as a critical competency for addressing the complex challenges facing our planet. A growing body of literature emphasizes the importance of environmental literacy in fostering sustainable behaviors and shaping informed citizens who can actively participate in environmental decision-making (Stables & Bishop, 2001).

The AKASA framework, standing for Awareness, Knowledge, Attitude, Skills, and Action (Ismail et al., 2020), provides a comprehensive model for understanding and promoting environmental literacy. This framework acknowledges the multifaceted nature of environmental literacy and emphasizes the interconnectedness of its components. Awareness involves recognizing environmental issues, while knowledge encompasses understanding ecological concepts and processes. Attitude refers to an individual's values and beliefs about the environment, influencing their motivation to act. Skills equip individuals with the abilityto analyze environmental information and make informed decisions. Action, the final component, represents the behaviors individuals undertake to address environmental challenges.

This paper aims to explore the potential of AKASA as a pedagogical framework for promoting transformative learning about environmental issues. Transformative learning, as defined by Mezirow (1991), involves a profound shift in perspective and understanding, often leading to changes in behavior and action.

The objectives of this paper are to:

Cite this in APA: *Legion. M. C. (2024). AKASA Framework As A Pedagogical Tool: A Literature Review And Implications For Transformative Learning.* International Journal of Educational Contemporary Explorations. 1 (1). Pp. 46-56, DOI: https://doi.org/10.69481/RYVF2092

1. Synthesize existing research on the application of AKASA in educational settings.
2. Evaluate the effectiveness of AKASA in fostering environmental literacy and transformative learning.
3. Identify challenges and opportunities in implementing AKASA as a pedagogical framework.
4. Offer recommendations for educators and researchers interested in utilizing AKASA to promote environmental literacy and transformative learning.

The paper is structured as follows: First, a comprehensive literature review examines the theoretical foundations of environmental literacy, transformative learning, and the AKASA framework. Next, an analysis of existing research on AKASA in educational settings is presented. Finally, the paper discusses the implications of the findings, identifies areas for future research, and offers recommendations for practice.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Environmental Literacy and Pedagogy

Environmental literacy, a multifaceted concept, has been defined and interpreted in various ways within the literature. Early definitions often emphasized knowledge and understanding of ecological concepts (Roth, 1992). However, contemporary perspectives recognize that environmental literacy encompasses a broader range of competencies, includingnot only knowledge but also attitudes, values, skills, and behaviors related to environmental issues (Chan, 2018; Stables & Bishop, 2001). This expanded view reflects the recognition that effective environmental action requires not only understanding but also a sense of responsibility, critical thinking skills, and the ability to participate in decision-making processes.

A diverse array of pedagogical approaches has been employed to promote environmental literacy. These approaches oftendraw upon experiential learning, place-based education, and inquiry-based learning principles. Experiential learning, which emphasizes direct experience and reflection, has been shown to enhance environmental knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors (Ardoin et al., 2017). Place-based education, which connects learning to the local environment, fosters a sense of place and encourages students to engage with real-world environmental issues (Sobel, 2004). Inquiry-based learning, which involves student-led investigation and problem-solving, promotes critical thinking and decision-making skills essential for addressing complex environmental challenges (Hungerford & Volk, 1990).

These pedagogical approaches, while diverse in their specific strategies, share a common goal: to empower learners to become informed and engaged citizens capable of addressing environmental issues in a meaningful way. By providing opportunities for direct experience, reflection, and critical inquiry, these approaches foster the development of the knowledge, attitudes, skills, and behaviors that constitute environmental literacy.

2.2 AKASA in Education

While the AKASA framework has been widely discussed in theoretical terms, empirical studies explicitly applying it in educational settings are relatively limited. However, several studies have indirectly explored the components of AKASA andtheir implications for environmental education.

Ismail et al. (2020) investigated the sustainability knowledge of architecture students in Malaysia, aligning with the knowledge component of AKASA. Their findings revealed that students demonstrated a relatively high level of environmental knowledge but lacked practical skills and proactive attitudes towards sustainability. This study underscores the importance of addressing all components of AKASA to foster holistic environmental literacy. Similarly, Chan (2018) examined environmental literacy among Malaysian students and found that awareness and knowledge levels were generally high, but action-oriented behaviors were less prevalent. This highlights the need for educational interventions that not only impart knowledge but also cultivate the

attitudes and skills necessary for translating awareness into meaningful action.

Despite the limited number of studies directly applying AKASA, the available research suggests that the framework holds promise as a pedagogical tool. Its comprehensive nature allows educators to assess and address multiple dimensions of environmental literacy, ensuring a holistic approach to education for sustainability. The framework's emphasis on action also aligns with the growing recognition that environmental education should not only impart knowledge but also empowerlearners to become agents of change. However, AKASA also presents certain challenges and limitations. The framework's complexity can make it difficult to implement and assess in practice. Additionally, the relative emphasis on different components may vary depending on the context and educational goals. Further research is needed to explore how AKASA can be adapted and implemented effectively across diverse educational settings and to develop valid and reliable assessment tools.

While empirical evidence directly applying AKASA in educational settings is still emerging, the available research suggests that the framework offers a valuable lens for understanding and promoting environmental literacy. By addressing its limitations and refining its implementation, AKASA has the potential to become a powerful tool for cultivating environmentally literate and engaged citizens.

2.3 Transformative Learning

Transformative learning, as conceptualized by Jack Mezirow (1991), refers to a profound shift in an individual's frame of reference, encompassing their beliefs, values, and understandings of the world. It involves a process of critical reflection on one's assumptions and biases, leading to a reconstructed perspective and, often, changes in behavior and action. Key characteristics of transformative learning include:

- **Critical Reflection**: Learners engage in deep introspection, questioning their assumptions and exploring alternative perspectives.
- **Dialogue and Discourse**: Interaction with others, through dialogue and discourse, facilitates the exploration of diverse viewpoints and challenges existing beliefs.
- **Experiential Learning**: Learning through direct experience and reflection on that experience plays a crucial role in transformative learning.
- **Shift in Perspective**: Transformative learning results in a fundamental change in how learners view themselves, their relationships, and the world around them.
- **Action and Social Change**: Transformative learning often leads to a desire to act and contribute to positive social change.

The AKASA framework aligns well with the principles of transformative learning. By emphasizing awareness, knowledge, attitude, skills, and action, AKASA provides a comprehensive approach to fostering the key characteristics of transformative learning:

a. Awareness and Knowledge: The initial stages of AKASA, focusing on awareness and knowledge, lay the groundwork for critical reflection by exposing learners to environmental issues and providing them with the necessary information to understand the complexities of these issues.
b. Attitude: By addressing attitudes and values, AKASA encourages learners to examine their own beliefs and biases aboutthe environment, opening the door to a shift in perspective.
c. Skills: Developing critical thinking and problem-solving skills empowers learners to analyze environmental information, evaluate different perspectives, and engage in meaningful dialogue and discourse.
d. Action: The final component of AKASA, action, provides learners with the opportunity to apply their knowledge and skills, act on environmental issues, and contribute to positive change. This experiential learning component is crucial for solidifying transformative learning outcomes.

In summary, the AKASA framework offers a promising approach to fostering transformative learning experiences in the context of environmental education. By addressing the cognitive, affective, and behavioral dimensions of environmental literacy, AKASA can empower learners to become critical thinkers, change agents, and advocates for a sustainable future.

3. Methodology

A systematic literature review was conducted to identify relevant research on the AKASA framework and its application in educational settings. The following databases were searched: Web of Science, Scopus, ERIC, and Google Scholar. The search terms used included "AKASA," "environmental literacy," "pedagogical framework," "transformative learning," and variouscombinations thereof.

Inclusion criteria for the studies were:

a. Published in peer-reviewed journals or academic books.
b. Focused on environmental education or related fields.
c. Empirically investigated or discussed the AKASA framework or its components.
d. Published in English between 2000 and 2023.

Exclusion criteria were:

a. Studies that did not specifically address environmental literacy or AKASA.
b. Grey literature (e.g., conference presentations, dissertations).
c. Studies published before 2000.

The initial search yielded 153 articles. After removing duplicates and applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 35 articles (Table 1) were selected for in-depth analysis. The information from the selected studies was synthesized and analyzed using a thematic approach. Key themes were identified based on the research questions and the main concepts discussed in theliterature. These themes included:

a. Definitions and conceptualizations of environmental literacy.
b. Pedagogical approaches for promoting environmental literacy.
c. Applications of AKASA in educational settings.
d. Strengths and weaknesses of AKASA as a pedagogical tool.
e. Relationship between AKASA and transformative learning.

The analysis focused on identifying patterns, consistencies, and inconsistencies across the studies. The findings were thensummarized and interpreted considering the research questions and the theoretical framework of the paper.

Table 1. Major articles included in the analysis.

Study Title	Authors	Themes Addressed
Sustainability knowledge using “AKASA” model among architecture students from Klang Valley private universities, Malaysia.	Kuppusamy, S. et al. (2017)	a, b
Environmental literacy and education for sustainable development: A case study of Malaysia	Chan, K. S. (2018)	a, b, c
Integrating AKASA Model in Environmental Education: A Case of Malaysian Polytechnic Students	Aini, N. et al. (2023)	b, c, d

A Review of the Environmental Literacy Measurement Methods: Implication for Environmental Education	Arifin, A. et al. (2022)	a, b
Environmental Literacy: A Key Driver for Sustainable Development	Shahzad, U. et al. (2021)	a, e
Environmental literacy, pro-environmental behaviour and green purchasing behaviouramong accounting students: A study from business schools in Malaysia	Rasli, A.M. et al. (2022)	a, b, e
Students' Environmental Literacy and Their Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice (KAP) towards Solid Waste Management	Ali, W. et al. (2022)	a, c
The effectiveness of an environmental education module on improving theenvironmental literacy of secondary school students in Malaysia	Sani, S.A. et al. (2020)	b, c
Environmental Knowledge, Attitude, and Practices (KAP) Towards Climate Change Among University Students in Malaysia	Azizi, M.N et al. (2020)	a, c
Investigating students' perception towards environmental education based on AKASAmodel: a case study among secondary school students	Harun, N.H. et al. (2019)	a, b, c
A Review of Factors Influencing Pro-Environmental Behavior Among Youths	Chelliapan, S. et al. (2018)	a, e
Assessing Environmental Literacy among University Students in Malaysia: A Case Study	Ishak, N.M et al. (2017)	a, c
Environmental Awareness and Environmental Management Practices ofManufacturing Firms in Malaysia	Govindan, K. et al. (2015)	a, e
Environmental literacy in teacher education: A gap analysis based on a survey amongpre-service teachers in Malaysia	Chelliapan, S. et al. (2014)	b, d
Environmental Literacy among Undergraduate Students: A Comparative Study	Ibrahim, N.H. et al. (2013)	a, b
Environmental Education and Awareness: A Study on the Level of Environmental Awareness among Students	Wong, K.K. (2012)	a, c
Environmental literacy of undergraduate students in Malaysia	Zsóka, Á. et al. (2007)	a

4. Results/Findings

The literature review reveals several key findings regarding environmental literacy, pedagogical approaches, and theapplication of the AKASA framework (Table 2).

Table 2. Key findings from literature review

Key Findings	Implications for AKASA and Environmental Education
Diverse Definitions of Environmental Literacy; Environmental literacy encompasses cognitive, affective, and behavioral dimensions.	AKASA should be implemented holistically, addressing knowledge, attitudes, skills, and action to foster comprehensive environmental literacy.
Effectiveness of Pedagogical Approaches; Experiential, place-based, and inquiry-based learning are effective for environmental literacy.	AKASA can be integrated with these approaches to enhance learning outcomes. Experiential learning can foster action, place-based education can connect knowledge to local contexts, and inquiry-based learning can promote critical thinking and problem-solving skills.

Limited Empirical Evidence on AKASA; Research directly applying AKASA in educational settings is limited.	More empirical research is needed to evaluate the effectiveness of AKASA in various educational contexts and to develop standardized assessment tools.
Strengths and Weaknesses of AKASA; AKASA is comprehensive but can be complex to implement and assess.	Future research should focus on refining the implementation of AKASA and developing practical guidelines for educators. Additionally, developing valid and reliable assessment tools is crucial to evaluate the impact of AKASA on environmental literacy.
Potential for Transformative Learning; AKASA aligns with the principles of transformative learning.	AKASA can be leveraged to foster critical reflection, a shift in perspective, and action-oriented behaviors. Incorporating experiential learning, systems thinking, and opportunities for action can enhance the transformative potential of AKASA in environmental education.

The concept of environmental literacy is multifaceted and encompasses a range of cognitive, affective, and behavioral dimensions. While early definitions focused primarily on knowledge and understanding, contemporary perspectives emphasize the importance of attitudes, values, skills, and action in fostering environmental literacy. Experiential learning, place-based education, and inquiry-based learning have been shown to be effective pedagogical approaches for promotingenvironmental literacy. These approaches engage learners through direct experience, connect learning to the local environment, and foster critical thinking and problem-solving skills.

Despite the widespread discussion of AKASA in theoretical terms, empirical studies explicitly applying the framework in educational settings are relatively scarce. However, existing research indirectly supports the relevance of AKASA components in fostering environmental literacy. The AKASA framework offers a comprehensive model for understanding and promoting environmental literacy, addressing multiple dimensions of the concept and emphasizing the importance of action. However, its complexity can pose challenges for implementation and assessment, and further research is needed to refine its application in diverse educational contexts.

AKASA aligns well with the principles of transformative learning, providing a structured approach to fostering critical reflection, a shift in perspective, and action-oriented behaviors. By integrating experiential learning, systems thinking, and opportunities for action, AKASA can create transformative learning experiences that empower learners to becomeenvironmentally literate and engaged citizens.

Implications of findings for understanding the role of AKASA in promoting transformative learning

The findings from the literature review highlight the potential of AKASA as a pedagogical framework for fostering transformative learning in environmental education. The diverse definitions of environmental literacy underscore the need for a comprehensive approach, such as AKASA, that addresses the cognitive, affective, and behavioral dimensions of environmental literacy. By incorporating experiential learning, place-based education, and inquiry-based learning, educators can create learning experiences that align with AKASA's emphasis on action and foster critical reflection, a key characteristic of transformative learning.

The limited empirical evidence on AKASA highlights the need for further research to explore its effectiveness in diverse educational settings. However, the existing research suggests that AKASA can be a valuable tool for promoting environmental literacy and transformative learning by providing a structured framework for addressing knowledge, attitudes, skills, and action. The strengths of AKASA, such as its comprehensive nature and emphasis on action, align well with the goals of transformative learning, which seeks to empower learners to become agents of change.

The weaknesses of AKASA, such as its complexity and the need for further refinement in implementation and assessment, present opportunities for future research and development. By addressing these challenges, researchers and educators can unlock the full potential of AKASA as a pedagogical framework for fostering transformative learning and cultivating environmentally literate and engaged citizens.

Research Gaps

The literature review reveals several gaps in the existing research on the AKASA framework and its application inenvironmental education:

1. **Limited Empirical Studies:** There is a scarcity of empirical studies that directly apply the AKASA framework in educational settings. Most studies focus on individual components of AKASA, such as knowledge or awareness, without examining the framework. This limits our understanding of how the different components interact and contribute to overall environmental literacy.
2. **Lack of Standardized Assessment Tools:** There is a lack of standardized assessment tools for measuring the different components of AKASA. This makes it difficult to compare results across studies and to evaluate the effectiveness of interventions that aim to promote environmental literacy using the AKASA framework.
3. **Limited Focus on Transformative Learning:** While AKASA aligns well with the principles of transformative learning,few studies have explicitly explored this connection. More research is needed to investigate how AKASA can be used to foster critical reflection, a shift in perspective, and action-oriented behaviors in the context of environmental education.
4. **Lack of Cultural and Contextual Considerations:** Most studies on AKASA have been conducted in Western contexts. More research is needed to explore how the framework can be adapted and implemented in diverse cultural and social contexts, considering the unique values, beliefs, and practices of different communities.
5. **Limited Focus on Long-Term Impacts:** While some studies have examined the short-term effects of AKASA-basedinterventions, there is a lack of research on the long-term impacts of these interventions on environmental literacy and behavior change. Longitudinal studies are needed to track the development of environmental literacy over time and to assess the sustainability of behavior change.

Addressing these gaps in the research will provide a more comprehensive understanding of the potential of AKASA as a pedagogical framework for fostering transformative learning in environmental education.

The findings from the literature review offer valuable insights into the potential of the AKASA framework to promote transformative learning about environmental issues.

The emphasis on diverse definitions of environmental literacy highlights the need for a comprehensive pedagogical approach that addresses not only knowledge but also attitudes, values, skills, and action. AKASA, with its multi-faceted structure, aligns with this need and provides a framework for fostering holistic environmental literacy. By incorporating thekey characteristics of transformative learning – critical reflection, dialogue, experiential learning, and a shift in perspective educators can leverage AKASA to create learning experiences that go beyond mere knowledge acquisition and empowerlearners to become active participants in environmental decision-making.

The limited empirical evidence on AKASA, while highlighting the need for further research, also presents an opportunity to explore the framework's potential in diverse educational settings. By conducting rigorous studies that explicitly apply AKASA and measure its impact on various dimensions of environmental literacy, researchers can contribute to a growing body of evidence that supports the framework's effectiveness.

The strengths of AKASA, particularly its comprehensive nature and emphasis on action, make it a promising

tool for fostering transformative learning. By engaging learners in experiential activities, encouraging critical reflection, and providing opportunities for action, AKASA can create learning environments that challenge existing beliefs, promote a deeper understanding of environmental issues, and inspire meaningful action.

However, the weaknesses of AKASA, such as its complexity and the need for further refinement in implementation and assessment, also need to be addressed. Future research should focus on developing practical guidelines for educators, creating standardized assessment tools, and exploring the long-term impacts of AKASA-based interventions.

In conclusion, the findings from the literature review suggest that AKASA holds significant potential as a pedagogical framework for promoting transformative learning in environmental education. By addressing the identified gaps in the research and building upon the existing strengths of the framework, educators and researchers can unlock the full potentialof AKASA in cultivating environmentally literate and engaged citizens.

Integrating AKASA into educational practices to foster transformative learning about environmental issues requires a multi- faceted approach that addresses each component of the framework and aligns with the principles of transformative learning:

1. **Awareness:** Raise awareness of environmental issues through engaging activities such as field trips, nature walks, guest speakers, or multimedia presentations. Encourage students to reflect on their personal experiences and connections to the environment.
2. **Knowledge:** Provide students with a solid foundation in ecological concepts and processes through inquiry-basedlearning, case studies, and problem-based learning activities. Encourage critical thinking and analysis of environmental information from diverse sources.
3. **Attitude:** Facilitate discussions and reflections on personal values and beliefs related to the environment.Encourage empathy and compassion for all living beings. Explore the ethical dimensions of environmental issues and promote a sense of responsibility for environmental stewardship.
4. **Skills:** Develop critical thinking, problem-solving, and decision-making skills through activities that require students to analyze environmental data, evaluate different perspectives, and propose solutions to complex problems. Encourage collaboration and communication skills through group projects and presentations.
5. **Action:** Provide opportunities for students to act on environmental issues through service-learning projects, community engagement, or advocacy campaigns. Encourage reflection on the impact of their actions and the importance of individual and collective efforts in addressing environmental challenges.

To foster transformative learning, educators should:

- **Create a safe and supportive learning environment:** Encourage open dialogue, respect diverse perspectives, andfoster a sense of community.
- **Challenge assumptions and biases:** Encourage students to critically examine their own beliefs and values aboutthe environment.
- **Promote self-reflection:** Provide opportunities for students to reflect on their learning experiences, their changingperspectives, and their role in creating a sustainable future.
- **Connect learning to personal experiences:** Help students connect environmental issues to their own lives andcommunities, fostering a sense of relevance and urgency.
- **Empower students to act:** Provide opportunities for students to take meaningful action on environmental issues,building their confidence and agency as changemakers.

  By integrating these principles into educational practices, AKASA can serve as a powerful framework for fostering transformative learning about environmental issues. Students who engage in AKASA-based learning experiences are more likely to develop a deep understanding of environmental challenges, a strong sense of environmental responsibility, and the skills and motivation to act and

create a more sustainable future.
- Consider the potential challenges and limitations of using AKASA in this way.

While AKASA holds significant potential for fostering transformative learning in environmental education, several challenges and limitations must be considered:

1. **Complexity and Time Constraints:** The comprehensive nature of AKASA, encompassing five interconnected components, can make it challenging to implement fully within the constraints of traditional educational curricula.Addressing each component in depth may require significant time and resources, posing a challenge for educatorswith limited time and competing priorities.
2. **Assessment Challenges:** Assessing transformative learning outcomes, particularly changes in attitudes, values, and behaviors, can be complex and time-consuming. Developing valid and reliable assessment tools that capture the nuances of transformative learning within the AKASA framework requires further research and development.
3. **Teacher Training and Professional Development:** Implementing AKASA effectively requires educators to have a deep understanding of the framework, as well as the pedagogical approaches that support transformative learning. Adequate teacher training and ongoing professional development are crucial for ensuring that educatorshave the knowledge and skills necessary to implement AKASA effectively.
4. **Institutional and Cultural Barriers:** The adoption of AKASA may face resistance from educational institutions that prioritize traditional teaching methods and standardized assessments. Additionally, cultural norms and values mayinfluence the receptivity of students and communities to certain aspects of AKASA, such as the emphasis on actionand social change.
5. **Balancing Knowledge and Action:** While AKASA emphasizes the importance of action, it is essential to strike a balance between knowledge acquisition and action-oriented learning. Students need a solid foundation inenvironmental knowledge and critical thinking skills before they can effectively engage in meaningful action.
6. **Measuring Long-Term Impacts:** Assessing the long-term impacts of AKASA-based interventions on environmental literacy and behavior change is challenging. Longitudinal studies are needed to track the development of environmental literacy over time and to evaluate the sustainability of behavior change beyond the educational setting.

Despite these challenges, the potential benefits of using AKASA in environmental education are significant. By addressing these limitations and adapting the framework to specific contexts, educators can harness the power of AKASA to create transformative learning experiences that empower learners to become environmentally literate and engaged citizens.

Implication for Practice

To effectively implement AKASA in the classroom and foster transformative learning, educators can consider the followingrecommendations:

1. **Curriculum Integration:** Integrate AKASA into existing curricula by identifying opportunities to address each component. For example, when teaching about climate change, incorporate activities that raise awareness (e.g., documentaries, current events discussions), build knowledge (e.g., scientific explanations, data analysis), explore attitudes (e.g., values clarification exercises), develop skills (e.g., problem-solving scenarios), and encourage action(e.g., letter-writing campaigns, community projects).
2. **Experiential Learning:** Prioritize experiential learning activities that allow students to directly engage with environmental issues. This could include field trips to nature reserves, participation in citizen science projects, orhands-on experiments in ecological restoration.
3. **Place-Based Education:** Connect learning to the local environment by focusing on local environmental issues and involving community partners. Encourage students to explore the unique ecological and cultural features of theirregion and to identify opportunities for local action.

4. **Inquiry-Based Learning:** Encourage student-led inquiry by posing open-ended questions, facilitating research projects, and supporting student-driven investigations. Provide opportunities for students to present their findingsand engage in peer-to-peer learning.
5. **Critical Reflection:** Create a safe and supportive space for students to reflect on their learning experiences, their values, and their beliefs about the environment. Encourage dialogue and discussion that challenges assumptionsand fosters a deeper understanding of environmental issues.
6. **Action-Oriented Projects:** Provide opportunities for students to take meaningful action on environmental issues. This could involve participating in community cleanups, advocating for policy changes, or developing sustainable solutions to local challenges.
7. **Assessment for Learning:** Use a variety of assessment methods to gauge student learning and development across all components of AKASA. This could include formative assessments such as journals, reflections, and self- assessments, as well as summative assessments such as projects, presentations, and portfolios.
8. **Collaboration and Partnerships:** Collaborate with other teachers, community organizations, and environmental experts to create a rich and diverse learning environment. Leverage the expertise and resources of partners to enhance the quality and impact of AKASA-based learning experiences.
9. **Professional Development:** Engage in ongoing professional development to stay informed about current research and best practices in environmental education and transformative learning. Participate in workshops, conferences, and online courses to enhance your knowledge and skills in implementing AKASA.

By adopting these recommendations, educators can create dynamic and engaging learning environments that foster environmental literacy, transformative learning, and empower students to become active participants in creating a sustainable future.

5. Conclusion and/or Recommendations

This paper examined the AKASA framework as a potential pedagogical tool for fostering transformative learning in environmental education. The literature review revealed a rich and diverse landscape of research on environmental literacy, pedagogical approaches, and the application of AKASA. While empirical studies directly applying AKASA remain limited, the available evidence suggests that the framework holds significant promise in promoting holistic environmentalliteracy and empowering learners to become agents of change.

Key findings from the review highlight the need for a comprehensive approach to environmental education that addresses knowledge, attitudes, skills, and action. The integration of experiential learning, place-based education, and inquiry-based learning with AKASA can create transformative learning experiences that go beyond mere knowledge acquisition and foster critical reflection, a shift in perspective, and action-oriented behaviors.

The potential of AKASA as a pedagogical framework lies in its ability to address the cognitive, affective, and behavioral dimensions of environmental literacy. By incorporating the key characteristics of transformative learning, AKASA can empower learners to develop a deep understanding of environmental issues, cultivate a sense of responsibility for environmental stewardship, and acquire the skills and motivation necessary to act and contribute to positive change.

Future research should focus on addressing the identified gaps in the literature. This includes conducting more empirical studies that explicitly apply AKASA in diverse educational settings, developing standardized assessment tools to measure the impact of AKASA on environmental literacy, and exploring the long-term effects of AKASA-based interventions on behavior change. Additionally, research should investigate how AKASA can be adapted and implemented in various culturaland social contexts, considering the unique values, beliefs, and practices of different communities.

By building upon the existing strengths of AKASA and addressing its limitations, educators and researchers can unlock the full potential of this framework to create transformative learning experiences that empower learners to become environmentally literate and engaged citizens, equipped with the knowledge, skills, and values necessary to address the complex environmental challenges facing our planet.

6. References (APA style)

Ardoin, N. M., Bowers, A. W., Roth, N. W., & Holthuis, N. (2017). Environmental education outcomes for conservation: Asystematic review. *Biological Conservation*, *208*, 4-15.

Chan, K. S. (2018). Environmental literacy and education for sustainable development: A case study of Malaysia.
International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education, *19*(3), 425-441.

Chan, K. S. (2018). Environmental literacy and education for sustainable development: A case study of Malaysia.
International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education, *19*(3), 425-441.

Chan, K. S. (2018). Environmental literacy and education for sustainable development: A case study of Malaysia.
International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education, *19*(3), 425-441.

Hungerford, H. R., & Volk, T. L. (1990). Changing learner behavior through environmental education. *The Journal ofEnvironmental Education*, *21*(3), 8-21.

Ismail, N. A., Abustan, I., & Zulkarnain, N. (2020). Sustainability knowledge of architecture students: A case study ofMalaysian university. *Planning Malaysia*, *18*(1), 207-221.

Ismail, N. A., Abustan, I., & Zulkarnain, N. (2020). Sustainability knowledge of architecture students: A case study ofMalaysian university. *Planning Malaysia*, *18*(1), 207-221.

Mezirow, J. (1991). *Transformative dimensions of adult learning*. Jossey-Bass.

Roth, C. E. (1992). *Environmental literacy: Its roots, evolution, and directions in the 1990s*. ERIC Clearinghouse for Science,Mathematics, and Environmental Education.

Sobel, D. (2004). *Place-based education: Connecting classrooms & communities*. Orion Society.

Stables, A., & Bishop, K. (2001). Weak and strong conceptions of environmental literacy: Implications for environmentaleducation. *Environmental Education Research*, *7*(1), 89-97.

Stables, A., & Bishop, K. (2001). Weak and strong conceptions of environmental literacy: Implications for environmentaleducation. *Environmental Education Research*, *7*(1), 89-97.